#ERAPerMed

JOINT TRANSNATIONAL CALL FOR PROPOSALS (2021) FOR

"MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH PROJECTS ON PERSONALISED MEDICINE – DEVELOPMENT OF CLINICAL SUPPORT TOOLS FOR PERSONALISED MEDICINE IMPLEMENTATION"

FERAPerMed

(ERA Net Grant 779282)

CALL TEXT

IMPORTANT DEADLINES

SUBMISSION OF PRE-PROPOSALS: 4 March 2021 at 17:00 (CET) SUBMISSION OF INVITED FULL-PROPOSALS: 17 June 2021 at 17:00 (CEST)

Link to electronic proposal submission:

https://ptoutline.eu/app/erapermed2021

ERA PerMed JOINT CALL SECRETARIAT The JCS is hosted by the Italian Ministry of Health (It-MoH)

Viale Ribotta, 5 Roma, ITALY With the support of the Fondazione Regionale per la Ricerca Biomedica, (FRRB),

Lombardy (Italy)

Maria Jose Ruiz Alvarez, Monica Paganelli Phone: +39 06 5994 3214 / 2408 healthresearch@sanita.it

www.erapermed.eu

1. INTRODUCTION & MOTIVATION

Personalised Medicine (PM) represents a paradigm shift from a "one size fits all" approach to an optimised strategy for prevention, diagnosis and treatment of disease for each person, based on his or her unique biological characteristics. Accordingly, PM puts the patient at the very centre of healthcare, aiming for optimised management of a patient's disease and/or predisposition to disease. Recent developments in many areas support and allow the shift towards PM implementation such as more specific diagnostic tests; medical imaging; biomarker monitoring to characterise patient phenotypes; omics technologies; data mining; interrogation of molecular pathways; availability of exposome, lifestyle and environmental data, and information about patient response to therapy; microbiome characterisation, realtime monitoring of parameters associated with disease-host interaction; compliance in taking medication and integration of smart information technology.

Definition of Personalised Medicine:

ERA PerMed adheres to the definition stated in the Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda (SRIA) of PerMed, adopted from the Horizon2020 advisory group¹:

"Personalised Medicine refers to a medical model using characterisation of individuals' phenotypes and genotypes (e.g. molecular profiling, medical imaging, lifestyle data) for tailoring the right therapeutic strategy for the right person at the right time, and/or to determine the predisposition to disease and/or to deliver timely and targeted prevention."

Some additional information can be found in the Advice for 2018–2020 of the Horizon 2020 Advisory Group for Societal Challenge 1, "Health, Demographic Change and Well-being"²:

"Different synonymous terms have been used alongside 'personalised medicine', most commonly 'precision medicine' and 'stratified medicine'. While there may be subtle differences in the literal meanings of these terms, they usually refer to the same concept when applied in practice. Stratified medicine (mainly used in the UK) is more treatment-dependent, while precision medicine (mostly used in US) has a relatively broad meaning as it refers to 4P (predictive, preventive, personalised and participatory) medicine. We use the term personalised medicine because this term best reflects the ultimate goal of effectively tailoring treatment based on an individual's 'personal profile', as determined by the individual's genotype and phenotype data. Based on individuals' profiles, PM aims to identify the optimal treatment regime by avoiding the treatment-failure approach commonly used in current evidence-based medicine."

The healthcare systems of the European Union represent the core of the European social protection system. In view of the existing health inequalities across countries and regions, as well as across socio-economic groups, European healthcare systems contribute to social cohesion and social justice. The overarching values of universality, access to good quality care, equity and solidarity have been widely accepted in the work of the different EU institutions,

¹ European Commission. Advice for 2016/2017 of the Horizon 2020 Advisory Group for Social Challenge 1, "Health, Demographic Change and Wellbeing", July 2014: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/transparency/regexpert/index.cfm?do=groupDetail.groupDetailDoc&id=15073&no=1</u> ² <u>https://ec.europa.eu/research/health/pdf/ag_advice_report_2018-2020.pdf</u>

while its implementation depends on the different countries and the respective structures and needs.

Current advances in the field of genomics and other omics approaches, together with technological progress (such as digital health, high-performance computing, augmented reality, robotics, wearables, sensors etc.), hold the promise of finally bringing PM into practice and applying preventive and predictive care models. Common and shared quality criteria for example for public databases should be developed, as the application of preventive and predictive care models. A European joint ethical framework is needed to implement PM and to process, use and exchange personal data.

Besides the possibility of enhancing the lifespan of patients and increasing the quality of clinical practice through more targeted therapies, improvements in PM in the long-term may also lead to more efficient use of costs and resources for healthcare systems through early detection, prevention, accurate risk assessment and effective delivery of care.

However, despite recent progress in this field, many challenges remain. The development of PM approaches is complex, interlinked and global in nature. It requires truly multidisciplinary, cross-sectoral and transnational collaborations.

ERA PerMed seeks to facilitate these collaborations, and to foster the sharing of ideas, knowledge, data, and results between researchers and stakeholders from different disciplines (e.g. life sciences, physics, bioinformatics, biostatistics, ethics, health economics and health-service research), healthcare providers, industry/pharma, regulatory authorities, as well as health technology assessors.

To be successfully implemented, these approaches need to include strategies on how to better involve patients and citizens in all stages of the process, and on training the different key contributors and stakeholders needed for the implementation of PM approaches.

ERA PerMed³ is an ERA-NET Cofund, supported by 32 partners from 23 countries and cofunded by the European Commission. It aims to align regional and national research strategies and funding activities and foster cooperation between the various contributors in PM. In this way, it aims to promote excellence, improve the competitiveness of European contributors to PM, and enhance European collaboration with non-EU countries.

ERA PerMed is closely linked to the International Consortium for Personalised Medicine (**ICPerMed**⁴), established in November 2016. The <u>Action Plan</u>⁵ of **ICPerMed** builds on the Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda (SRIA) "Shaping Europe's Vision for Personalised Medicine"⁶ developed by PerMed in 2015. **ERA PerMed** fosters the implementation of the Action Plan by funding transnational research projects in the field of PM.

³ For more information, please visit the ERA PerMed website: <u>www.erapermed.eu</u>

⁴ For more information, see <u>http://www.icpermed.eu/</u>

⁵ The ICPerMed Action Plan is published on: <u>http://www.icpermed.eu/media/content/ICPerMed_Actionplan_2017_web.pdf</u>

⁶ The CSA PerMed SRIA is published on <u>https://www.icpermed.eu/media/content/PerMed_SRIA.pdf</u>

The funding organisations listed below have decided to jointly launch the fourth **ERA PerMed** JTC2021 in order to fund international high-quality research projects in PM. The **Joint Call Secretariat (JCS)** will centrally coordinate this call for proposals.

The call is opened and supported simultaneously by the following funding organisations in their respective regions/countries:

- Austrian Science Fund, (FWF), Austria
- Fund for Scientific Research FNRS, (F.R.S.-FNRS), Belgium
- Brazilian National Council of State Funding Agencies CONFAP, Brazil
- Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (ANID), Chile
- Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Croatia, (MSE), Croatia
- Innovation Fund Denmark, (InnoFond), Denmark
- Academy of Scientific Research and Technology, (ASRT), Egypt
- Estonian Research Council, (ETAg), Estonia
- Academy of Finland, (AKA), Finland
- The French National Research Agency, (ANR), France
- Federal Ministry of Education and Research, (BMBF) / German Aerospace Center e.V. Project Management Agency, (DLR), Germany
- Federal Ministry of Health, (BMG) / German Aerospace Center e.V. Project Management Agency, (DLR), Germany
- Saxon State Ministry for Science, Culture and Tourism, (SMWK), Saxony (Germany)
- National Research, Development and Innovation Office, (NKFIH), Hungary
- Ministry of Health, The Chief Scientist Office, (CSO-MOH), Israel
- Italian Ministry of Health, (IT-MoH), Italy
- Fondazione Regionale per la Ricerca Biomedica, (FRRB), Lombardy (Italy)
- Tuscany Region, (TuscReg), Tuscany (Italy)
- State Education Development Agency, (VIAA), Latvia
- National Research Fund, (FNR), Luxembourg
- Research Council of Norway, (RCN), Norway
- National Secretariat for Science, Technology and Innovation of Panama (SENACYT), Panama
- National Centre for Research and Development, (NCBR), Poland
- Executive Agency for Higher Education, Research, Development and Innovation Funding, (UEFISCDI), Romania
- National Institute of Health Carlos III, (ISCIII), Spain
- The Scientific Foundation of the Spanish Association Against Cancer, (FCAECC), Spain
- Health Department Generalitat de Catalunya, (DS-CAT), Catalonia (Spain)
- Government of Navarre, (GN), Navarre (Spain)
- Swedish Research Council, (SRC), Sweden
- The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey, (TUBITAK), Turkey

2. TIMELINE OF THE CALL

14 December, 2020	Publication of the call								
14 December, 2020									
14 December, 2020	Opening of the submission system for pre-proposals								
4 March, 2021 (17:00, CET)	Deadline for pre-proposal submission								
Expected around 12 May, 2021	Communication of the results of the pre-proposal assessment and invitation to the full-proposal stage								
17 June, 2021 (17:00, CEST)	Deadline for full-proposal submission								
Mid/end of August 2021	Rebuttal stage								
September 2021	Peer Review Panel meeting and Call Steering Committee (CSC) meeting for funding recommendation to national funding agencies								
Expected for October 2021	Communication of the funding decisions to the applicants								
End of 2021, beginning of 2022	Expected project start (according to regional/national								

* 3. AIM OF THE CALL

With its fourth transnational call (non-cofunded by the EC), **ERA PerMed** fosters research and innovation activities that build close linkages between clinical research, computer science/medical informatics and research on ethical, legal and social aspects (ELSA) in the field of PM. This implies a wide range of multidisciplinary activities brought together by different stakeholders from academia, clinical/public health research and private partners such as small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), policy makers, regulatory/health technology assessment (HTA) agencies and patients/patient organisations.

The overarching goal is to improve disease prevention and disease management, based on broader and more efficiently characterised and defined patient stratification, diagnostics and tailored treatment/prevention protocols for both patients and individuals at risk of disease. Early involvement of regulatory authorities and close interaction with the different key contributors along the value chain should be included right from the project development phase to bridge the gap between first discoveries or inventions to market access.

Research proposals submitted under this call are expected to demonstrate the applicability of project outcomes to clinical practice and to combine clinical research with data technologies. This could be the development and application of clinical decision support tools by using artificial intelligence (AI) systems approaches, including machine learning technologies. The clinical relevance of the proposed PM approach needs to be convincingly demonstrated. Moreover, proposals must include research on ethical, legal and social aspects.

As Personalised Medicine is non-disease-specific, but rather an overall approach that can be adopted and adapted to a multiplicity of medical conditions, research projects in every disease entity are encouraged.

The involvement of partners with the respective expertise in the consortium is required.

Additionally, projects may include pre-clinical research as a prerequisite for the implementation of a PM approach into clinical practice. Multilevel health economic assessment is also considered to be important for facilitating the translation of PM approaches to healthcare and can be included in the work plan.

The overall objectives of the call are to:

- Support translational and transnational research projects in the field of PM;
- Encourage and enable **interdisciplinary collaborations towards the implementation of PM**, combining clinical research with bio-informatics components and research on relevant ethical, legal and social aspects. Additionally, pre-clinical and health economic research can be included if the added value is outlined;
- Encourage collaboration between academia (research teams from universities, higher education institutions, public research institutions, research centres), clinical/public health research (research teams from hospital/ public health, healthcare settings and other healthcare organisations), private partners e.g. SMEs⁷ (small and medium-sized enterprises) as well as policy makers, regulatory/HTA agencies and patient representative organisations.

The JTC2021 is constructed around the following three research areas in order to ensure the development of specific PM approaches, taking into account the major aspects for their successful implementation in the health systems: (1) **"Translating Basic to Clinical Research and Beyond"**, (2) **"Data and Information and Communication Technology (ICT)"** and (3) **"Research towards Responsible Implementation in Healthcare"**:

Research area 1 "Translating Basic to Clinical Research and Beyond"	Research area 2 "Data and ICT"	Research area 3 "Research towards Responsible Implementation in Healthcare"
Module 1A: Pre-clinical Research		Module 3A: Health Economic Research
Module 1B: Clinical Research	Module 2: Towards Application in Healthcare	Module 3B: Ethical, Legal and Social Aspects

ICT: Information and Communications Technology (or Technologies)

Each proposal **MUST address** the **modules 1B "Clinical Research", 2 "Towards Application in Healthcare" and 3B "Ethical, Legal and Social Aspects"**. Inclusion of modules 1A "Pre-clinical Research" and 3A "Health Economic Research" is optional. Their added value to the proposal and the mandatory modules has to be clearly described.

⁷ <u>https://ec.europa.eu/growth/smes/business-friendly-environment/sme-definition_en</u>

	Research Area 1	Research Area 2	Research Area 3		
Mandatory	Module 1B	Module 2	Module 3B		
Optional	Module 1A		Module 3A		

Assessment of the coherent integration and combination of the different research areas and modules in the proposals is part of the evaluation process.

Research Area 1: *"Translating Basic to Clinical Research and Beyond".*

Research on the application and validation of known biomarkers in clinical practice and for the development of diagnostic and clinical decision support tools; on the therapeutic targets (including data e.g. obtained by omics approaches, dynamic simulations, imaging, biomarker monitoring, etc.) to predict, for example in advance of a specific therapy, the response of the patient in a dedicated stratification process or to adapt an ongoing therapy. The inclusion of a strategy to ensure the robustness and reproducibility of results is strongly encouraged. Research proposals should outline how implementation of the technology and research findings will be transformed into clinical practice and address regulatory questions conflicting with the implementation.

Optional additional topics for the JTC2021 (module 1A): Improving exchange between basic and clinical research to allow the transition from bench to bedside (e.g. by translational science, transferring pre-clinical technologies/other predictive tools to clinical application) but also vice versa by using, for example, existing clinical databases, repositories and cohorts, and by sharing experiences obtained in conventional and innovative clinical studies/trials. Appropriate validation strategies according to the translational gap to be bridged should be described.

Module 1A: Pre-clinical Research

Scope

- Development and implementation of high-throughput pre-clinical predictive models for (A) validation of data and hypotheses from human population, clinical, molecular and genomics studies and/or (B) prediction of clinical outcome. This may include *in silico* models, cell culture/co-culture, organoids and animal models, etc.
- Classification of diseases at the molecular level, which can be instrumental for supporting successful clinical implementation of PM, including pre-clinical studies for the validation of biomarkers that can be used in diagnosis, prognosis and prediction of response to treatment.
- Validation and characterisation of the role of biomarkers in predictive medicine for future prevention, assessment and management of diseases (in preclinical models, in terms of reproducibility, safety and efficiency).

Mandatory Module 1B: Clinical Research

Scope

- Improvement, validation and combination of analytical tools and methods (e.g. imaging, physiological monitoring, omics or other biomarkers) for diagnostics and treatment using integrated analytical methods, allowing the discovery and validation of molecular and environmental factors (including co-morbidities, ethnic and sexrelated differences) that can be used for patient stratification and treatment decisions.
- Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics studies in preparation of clinical trials.
- Development and evaluation of concepts for innovative clinical trial methodologies, suitable for PM approaches, taking into account the fact that more flexible and innovative trial design is needed, considering both health benefits and health economics (see also Module 3A). Development of novel strategies that will enable clinical scientists to accelerate the transition from clinical observation to diagnostic development.
- Development of new concepts and stratification strategies in exploratory clinical studies (for further information, see also the blue box on pages 11/12).
- Clinical, omics and environmental data integration, use of machine learning to provide the basis for more personalised treatment for patients.
- Research on the implementation of PM approaches in the treatment of patients with comorbidities.
- Clinical support tool testing and validation in clinical practice.
- Innovative m/e-health or telemedicine applications testing and validation in a clinical setting.

Research Area 2: *"Big Data and ICT⁸".*

The PM approaches to be established should support the easy flow of experimental evidence and various types of data (such as omics data, data on biological samples, as well as patient outcomes) generated *in silico* or directly by patients, the robust analysis and interpretation of information and results such as clinical data (including imaging data and physiological monitoring data) among different institutions while ensuring data security and data protection.

The re-use and sharing of data through public databases are encouraged and the re-use or combination of existing tools is also welcome. Applicants have to describe both new and existing tools, methodologies, technologies and digital supports to be used in the project. This includes ICT solutions such as eHealth and mHealth solutions, and telehealth solutions for the timely and safe collection and transfer of health information; and to facilitate the use of already collected data, including electronic medical records (structured and unstructured

⁸ Information and communications technology (or technologies)

sources), by respecting data security, protection and privacy on one hand, and ensuring interoperability, completeness, sufficient documentation and comparability of data on the other hand.

Outlining how the ICT solutions/decision support tools developed/used in the project will be maintained after the end of the project is also encouraged.

Applicants have to explain how data generated and used in the project will be stored and made available during and after the project.

Mandatory Module 2: Towards Application in Healthcare

Scope

- Use of large, multimodal datasets ("big data") to enable a new understanding of disease mechanisms. Application of artificial intelligence approaches to big data to find new subgroups of patients, to predict patient outcome to treatment and for biomarker validation. Research on health data integration and interpretation in order to advance PM by combining different kinds of datasets from various sources is necessary. These datasets can originate for example from large, multimodal and multi-centre public data repositories or clinical records from different sources. They can comprise data from multiple biological organisation levels or scales, for example, behavioural, physiologic, molecular and imaging data. In addition, different forms of mathematical, statistical and modelling frameworks can be used for example, the development of standardised strategies for cross-validating biomarkers across existing databases.
- Development of new and validation of existing innovative and easy-to-handle clinical decision support tools tailored to the needs of healthcare professionals. Such tools should provide reliable and accurate algorithmic interpretation of complex multifactorial and multimodal data (including e.g. clinically validated data and information on current diagnosis and treatment options) to be integrated in real time and accounting for expert feedback.
- Development of good practices for sample and data management in compliance with FAIR principles and GDPR. Development of core standards and joint working practices for storage, accessibility, interoperability and reusability for samples and data.
- Development of approaches for innovative use and combination of already validated and novel eHealth and mHealth solutions, such as new physiological sensor and patient monitoring technologies combined with mHealth solutions for real-time personalised feedback.
- Research on the development of innovative telemedicine applications in different healthcare systems to complement the direct contact between healthcare personnel and patients. This can be beneficial for example if there is a high risk of infection or for patients with limited mobility living in rural areas. Telemedicine approaches could also

be used to facilitate exchange between physicians in highly specialised centres and those in a more general healthcare setting.

Research Area 3: *"Research towards Responsible Implementation in Healthcare".*

Research on ethical, legal and social aspects (ELSA) of PM approaches, for example in the context of decision support tools or reflecting on questions of fair access to new and often expensive treatments. This could include research aiming to avoid biases due to machine learning techniques/tools and the use of artificial intelligence (AI) or research on suitable regulatory approaches for diagnostics, and drug development as well as fundamental societal challenges and patient representative involvement.

Optional topics for the JTC2021 (module 3A): Research on health economics aspects (e.g. through to market access, if applicable) is also welcome. Health economics research and payment models can assess the cost-effectiveness of PM approaches. New methods, models and tools to enable accurate assessment of PM approaches might be developed.

The studies conducted in research area 3 and the corresponding work package should relate directly to the research question(s) addressed in research areas 1 and 2.

Module 3A: Health Economic Research

Scope

- Research on health economic aspects of newly innovative PM approaches, e.g. on the cost-effectiveness of these approaches for treatments, taking into account patient outcomes, quality of life, patient preferences and socioeconomic contexts.
- Research investigating whether a patient-centred, new PM approach requires refinement of – or even new – health economic and pharma-economic models, not only for the treatment of diseases, but also for prevention.

Mandatory Module 3B: Ethical, Legal and Social Aspects

Scope

- Research on ethical, legal and social aspects, when using artificial intelligence techniques: availability and suitability of data for training (machine learning algorithms), requirements on transparent and explainable decision-making, questions of responsibility and liability, potential changes in the role and self-image of physicians, privacy and personal data issues, obligation of information towards patients.
- Research on benefits and harms of genetic engineering (gene transfer technology).
- Research on the role of genetic testing in clinical practice, the clinical interpretation of test results and on the potential clinical, ethical as well as legal consequences in the context of PM.

- Research on how to overcome potentially biased datasets lacking (sample) heterogeneity of information (e.g. gender, mixed and diverse populations, different cultural perspectives, social inequalities, etc.). This may also include the reflection on defining norms within decision support tools (definition on what is defining a "normal/healthy" status).
- Research on the personal/individual (objective vs. subjective) component during the creation of decision support tools (i.e. ethics applied to develop, program and train the decision support tool).
- Development of strategies for regulatory approval of clinical decision systems based on statistical learning, machine learning and artificial intelligence technologies.
- Research on the use of the decision support tools in the prevention and stratification of the healthy society/population and fair access to these tools for all citizens and populations.
- Right to know/not to know and sharing of research findings: how to use artificial intelligence predictive tools, finding a balance between patients' rights and research needs.
- Research on how to enable stakeholder exchange and collaboration (including all different key contributors – academic researchers from different disciplines, healthcare providers, industry/pharma and regulatory authorities, as well as citizens, patient representatives and communities, regardless of their social, environmental and economic conditions) including all essential key players in the development of PM approached from the very beginning of a study.
- ELSA research on the provision of equal access to PM approaches for all patients regardless of economic, educational or geographic status (including research on the effect of PM on social inequalities).

Small-scale exploratory clinical studies are within the scope of the call.

ERA PerMed can support exploratory clinical studies, including those with a smaller number of patients/volunteers that aim to demonstrate the feasibility of early diagnosis and/or stratification of patients for existing drugs, for example. Exploratory clinical studies submitted to this call should be designed to allow further scalability, although their escalation is not part of this joint call.

Proposals must adhere to the requested budget and time frame of the planned studies. Studies should be finalised within the 3-year funding period of the call. **ERA PerMed** will only fund those parts of the proposed study that address the aims of the call.

ERA PerMed supports exploratory clinical studies that assess the viability of a future study (e.g. clinical trial):

• **Pilot studies** in which the future definitive study, or parts of it, including the randomisation or non-randomisation of participants, is conducted on a smaller scale (piloted) to assess its feasibility. Pilot studies should resemble the main

(future) study in the relevant respects, including the assessment of the primary outcome.

 Feasibility studies that are not pilot studies, such as those in which the investigators attempt to answer a question about whether some element of the future intervention is deemed feasible. In contrast to pilot studies, in this kind of study, no part of the future study is being conducted on a smaller scale. Feasibility studies that are not pilot studies serve to estimate important parameters that are needed to design the main study.

Proposals including an exploratory clinical study must, at the full-proposal stage, include as an Annex the duly filled out form for "Exploratory Clinical Studies" (template available on the <u>ERA PerMed website</u>). Investigators must demonstrate that the required number of patients/individuals can be recruited in the defined period for the clinical exploratory study.

Clinical trials that include a larger number of patients, for example for the identification or development of novel drugs, **are beyond the scope of the call**.

Please note:

The Technology Readiness Levels (TRL)⁹ funded differ between the participating funding organisations. Please check the regional/national regulations ("Guidelines for Applicants").

Regional/national eligibility rules apply for the funding of different research areas and modules as well as for the funding of clinical studies (see also Annex II and "Guidelines for Applicants"). Therefore, applicants are strongly advised to contact their relevant funding organisation (see also Annex I) and to carefully read the regional/national eligibility rules ("Guidelines for Applicants", Annex 2) prior to submission.

Aspects to be considered during the construction of proposals

Proposals **must be interdisciplinary and clearly demonstrate the potential impact on PM** as well as **the added value of the transnational collaboration**: sharing of resources (registries, diagnoses, biobanks, models, databases, electronic health records, diagnostic and bioinformatics tools, etc.), platforms/infrastructures, interoperability of data harmonisation strategies and sharing of specific know-how. In order to achieve these goals, the necessary expertise and resources should be brought together from academia, clinical/public health sector and private partners. The research teams within a consortium should include investigators from a broad range of relevant scientific disciplines and research areas, and have the necessary expertise to achieve the proposed objectives. The individual project partners of the joint applications should be complementary. The proposed work should contain novel, innovative, ambitious ideas and promote innovative PM solutions to move from scientific

⁹ Horizon 2020 scale for TRL: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/other/wp/2016_2017/annexes/h2020-wp1617-annex-g-trl_en.pdf</u>

value to benefit for patients (including analyses of applicability to medical care in terms of money, time, resources, technical feasibility, etc.).

Consultation prior to proposal submission with stakeholders relevant for a successful implementation into healthcare (e.g. regulatory authorities or health insurance providers) is recommended. The outcome of these discussions and their impact on the project's concept should be described in the proposal.

Consortia are asked to clearly demonstrate and describe how the selected research areas and modules are integrated in the proposal and addressed in the work plan. To address a module/research area adequately, there has to be a dedicated work package in the work plan with a topic fitting to the module. In addition, the partner responsible for the respective work package needs to have the appropriate expertise. The fulfilment of these two points as well as the coherent integration and combination of the different research areas and modules in the proposals will be part of the evaluation process (see also page 22: "3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation").

Active participation of early-career researchers/scientists in project proposals is encouraged. An early-career researcher/scientist is someone who has been awarded his/her first PhD/MD or equivalent doctoral degree at least 2 and up to 10 years prior to the proposal submission deadline.

Patient involvement

ERA PerMed strongly encourages the active involvement of members of the public in the proposed research projects. This includes patients, citizens/potential patients, healthcare providers, people who use health and social care services, as well as patient organisations. The goal is to raise awareness, share knowledge and improve dialogue between researchers, healthcare providers, policy-makers, industry and citizens.

Accordingly, consortia submitting proposals to this call are asked to describe the level of public involvement in the research throughout the various stages of research design, conduct, analysis and dissemination. The extent of citizen/patient involvement may vary according to the context of the study proposed and regional/national regulations of participating funding organisations. The involvement of patient representatives/organisations in research proposals submitted to this call is part of the evaluation: "2. Impact: c. Involvement of pertinent patient organisations, patient representatives (if available/applicable)" and "3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation: e. Coherent integration of all kind of project partners (e.g. academia, clinical/public health sector, industry partners/SME, Patient representative/organisation) needed to successfully accomplish the proposed work".

Involving members of the public from the very beginning in research projects can improve quality and relevance by:

• Providing a different perspective – consortia can benefit from the experiences of those who are using the service or living with a health condition;

- Encouraging the use of clear and accessible language, and content of information in documents provided to the wider public;
- Helping to ensure that the methods proposed for the study are suitable and sensitive to the situations of potential research participants;
- Helping to ensure that the research considers outcomes that are important to the public;
- Helping to increase the participation/recruitment of potential participants in research by making the research more comprehensive and therefore acceptable.

In addition, involving members of the public ensures that research considers broader principles of citizenship, accountability and transparency.

Inclusion of sex, gender analysis¹⁰ and/or underrepresented populations

Applicants are strongly encouraged to integrate sex and gender considerations as well as underrepresented populations, or underrepresented patient sub-groups (e.g. children or elderly) in proposals submitted to the **ERA PerMed** call. This includes not only the **sex distribution of research teams**, but also the **inclusion of sex and/or gender analysis in the research** itself. This applies especially when patients are involved in the proposal. A project is considered sex- and gender-relevant when it concerns individuals or groups of people and/or when its findings may affect individuals or groups.

The inclusion of gender and/or sex analysis is part of the evaluation and represents one evaluation sub-criterion in "2. Impact", "f. Consideration of sex aspects and underrepresented populations in research teams. Inclusion of sex and/or gender analysis and underrepresented populations in the research, if applicable" (page 22).

Scientific Data Open Access Policy

Proposals should explain how the data gathered through the project would be available (findable, accessible, interoperable and re-usable) to the wider research community, even after the end of the project. In addition, **ERA PerMed** expects proposals to develop data management plans (DMPs) according to international state-of-the-art standards for data security (following the **FAIR principles**¹¹, **the General Data Protection Regulation**¹² **and in accordance with Ethical principles**¹³ **for data management**). The DMP represents an essential document for the implementation of the research, as it helps to define the responsibilities of

¹¹ findable, accessible, interoperable and reusable (FAIR):

¹⁰ Applicants are encouraged to visit the further link and to complete the modules in order to increase the quality of their applications concerning the integration of sex and gender-based considerations: <u>http://www.cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/49347.html</u>. Please consider also the work of the European commission on gender equality in research: <u>https://ec.europa.eu/research/swafs/index.cfm?pg=policy&lib=gender</u>.

http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants_manual/hi/oa_pilot/h2020-hi-oa-data-mgt_en.pdf ¹² https://gdpr-info.eu/

¹³ <u>http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants_manual/hi/ethics/h2020_hi_ethics-self-assess_en.pdf,</u> <u>http://ec.europa.eu/research/participants/data/ref/h2020/grants_manual/hi/ethics/h2020_hi_ethics-data-protection_en.pdf</u>

research data management ahead of the start of the project. Consortia of projects selected for funding must submit a detailed DMP (template available on the <u>ERA PerMed website</u>). The project coordinator is responsible for sending the complete DMP to the JCS no later than three months after the official start of the project.

Compliance with the DMP must be reported in each annual scientific project progress report. Publication of scientific outcomes of the project is subject to open access, and a corresponding budget should be allocated for this in the proposal's budget plan.

4. APPLICATION

A. FUNDING RECIPIENTS

Eligibility criteria:

- Only transnational projects will be funded.
- Each consortium submitting a proposal must involve at least three partners eligible for funding from three different countries whose funders participate in the call (see list above). All three legal entities must be independent of each other.
- At least **two partners** out of the minimum three eligible project partners of the consortium must be **from two different EU Member States or Associated Countries**.
- The **project coordinator must be eligible to be funded** by his/her regional/national participating funding organisation.
- The maximum number of partners per project at the pre-proposal stage is six. At the full-proposal stage, the consortium may be expanded to up to seven partners in total only by inclusion of a partner from an underrepresented country. A list of underrepresented countries will be provided to the coordinators invited to submit fullproposals.¹⁴
- Within one consortium, no more than two partners from the same country participating in the call will be accepted, including those partners with their own funding. For some funding agencies, the maximum number of eligible partners that can be funded in one project is limited to one (see also "Guidelines for Applicants" for individual funding rules).
- Partners not eligible for funding by one of the organisations participating in this JTC (e.g. from non-funding countries or not fundable according to the regional/national regulations of the participating funding organisations) may participate in projects provided that they demonstrate, with the full-proposal submission, that their economic and human resources have already been secured and will be available at the

¹⁴ Widening concept: Consortia are allowed to include in the full-proposal phase a new project partner that is eligible to receive funding from a funding organisation that is underrepresented in the first stage of the call and that agrees to participate in the widening option.

start of the project. **No more than one partner with its own funding is allowed** in consortia with at least three partners eligible for funding.

Joint research proposals may be submitted by applicants belonging to the following categories (subject to regional/national funding regulations; see "Guidelines for Applicants"):

- A. Academia (research teams working in universities, other higher education institutions) or research institutes;
- **B.** Clinical/public health sector (research teams working in hospitals/public health and/or other healthcare settings and health organisations). Participation of clinicians (e.g. medical doctors, nurses) in the research teams is encouraged;
- C. (Industry) Private partners, e.g. SME¹⁵ (small and medium-sized enterprises).

Consortia submitting applications to this call are strongly encouraged to include partners from different categories (A, B and C) in line with the crosscutting/multidisciplinary nature of the call, where the aim is to include partners at different levels in the value chain. The number of participants, the category of partner organisations and their research contribution should be appropriate for the aims of the research project and should be reasonably balanced in terms of international participation. Each collaborative project should represent the critical mass necessary to achieve ambitious scientific goals and should clearly demonstrate added value for the cooperation.

Research groups, SMEs and industry partners (non-SMEs) not eligible for funding by one of the organisations participating in this joint transnational call (e.g. from non-funding countries or not fundable according to regional/national regulations of the participating funding organisations) may participate if they are able to secure their own funding. Such partners must state in advance their source of funding for the project. They are treated as full partners and must be included in the pre- and full-proposal templates as such. Please be aware that **no more than one partner with its own funding** is allowed in consortia that comprise at least 3 partners eligible for funding (i.e. proposals with 4-6 partners in total, including the partner with its own funding, in the pre-proposal stage, and up to 7 for full-proposals). A letter of commitment must be included as an annex to the proposal in the full-proposal step summarising the commitment of this partner to the project and demonstrating the source of funding. <u>The budget of a non-funded partner shall not exceed 30% of the total transnational project budget requested.</u>

To collect the necessary patient data and/or samples for the proposed study, a consortium may need to collaborate with other centres. If the only role of those centres is to provide patients' data and/or samples for the study, they will not be treated as partners of the consortium but can be included otherwise, e.g. via cooperation agreements or subcontracting.

¹⁵ https://ec.europa.eu/growth/smes/business-friendly-environment/sme-definition_en

Number of partners in the proposal*		Pre-pr	oposal	Full-proposal (only by inclusion of one underrepresented country)	
	3	4	5	6	7
Maximum number of partners with own funding	0	1	1	1	1
Maximum number of partners per country	1	2	2	2	2

* minimum 3 partners eligible for funding from three different countries participating in the call.

Each project partner has to be represented by <u>one</u> principal investigator. Within a joint proposal, each project partner's principal investigator will be the contact person for the JCS and the relevant regional/national funding organisation. Each consortium must nominate one **project coordinator** from among the project's principal investigators. The nomination of a co-coordinator is not allowed. <u>The coordinator must be eligible to be funded by his/her</u> regional/national participating funding organisation. The project coordinator will represent the consortium externally and in his/her dealings with the JCS and the **Call Steering Committee**¹⁶ **(CSC)**, and will be responsible for its internal scientific management such as project monitoring, reporting, intellectual property rights (IPR) management and contact with the JCS.

Although proposals will be submitted jointly by research groups from several regions/countries, research groups will be funded by the respective funding organisation of the region/country from which they have applied. Applicants are therefore subject to the eligibility criteria of the respective funding organisations (see also Annex II and "Guidelines for Applicants"). They should therefore read the funding rules and eligibility criteria of their funding organisations carefully. Applicants are strongly advised to contact their relevant funding organisation (see also Annex I) prior to submission; please note that this step might be mandatory for some regions/countries.

If a **partner** is found to be ineligible by one of the funding organisations after the formal check, the entire proposal may be rejected without further review. For a definition of eligible partners, see "Guidelines for Applicants", the regional/national regulations, and contact your regional/national funding organisation (see also Annex I).

Nevertheless, the applicant can apply for a redress procedure. The redress procedure within ERA PerMed pertains to the eligibility-checking process only; it is not an automatic re-evaluation, and the judgement of appropriately qualified experts is not called into question.

¹⁶ Call Steering Committee: comprises a single representative from each country's/region's funding organisation.

For regional/national eligibility reasons, applicants must indicate in the pre-proposal form if the study submitted is subject to other evaluation processes, such as other joint transnational calls and regional/national calls. Applicants shall avoid applying to different calls for same research activities. Double funding is not allowed.

B. FINANCIAL AND LEGAL ASPECTS

The maximum duration of the projects is three years in accordance with **ERA PerMed** funding organisation regulations. The studies performed should be finalised at the latest within the third year of the funding period. **Eligible costs and funding provisions may vary according to the respective funding organisation's regulations**. Project partners must refer and adhere to their own regional/national regulations and scientific remits, as detailed in the relevant regional/national announcements (see Annex II).

C. SUBMISSION OF JOINT PROPOSALS

A **two-step submission and evaluation procedure** for the joint applications has been established: pre-proposals and full-proposals. In both phases, one joint proposal document shall be prepared by the partners of a joint transnational project. The document must be submitted to the JCS by the project coordinator by uploading it on the electronic submission system (<u>https://ptoutline.eu/app/erapermed2021</u>). The proposals must be written in English, must follow the template form in terms of overall size and section pages and characters limits, and must strictly adhere to the "Guidelines for Applicants". The pre-proposal form can be downloaded from the **ERA PerMed** website (<u>http://www.erapermed.eu/joint-calls/</u>).

Pre-proposals that do not use the respective template will be declared ineligible.

Pre-proposals must be received by the JCS in electronic format no later than 4 March, 2021 at 17:00 CET.

The decision on which applicants are selected to submit a full-proposal will solely be communicated by the JCS to applicants as soon as possible around 13th May 2020. The JCS will send a full-proposal application template to the coordinators of those research proposals that are recommended for the full-proposal stage.

Full-proposals must be received by the JCS in electronic format no later than **17 June, 2021 at 17:00 CEST**. Please note that **joint full-proposals will be accepted only from those applicants explicitly invited to submit by the JCS.** Full-proposals that do not use the respective template are ineligible.

In general, fundamental changes between the pre- and full-proposals concerning the composition of the consortia, objectives of the project or requested budget <u>will not be accepted</u>. The CSC may, however, allow such changes in exceptional cases, duly justified to the JCS.

Further information on electronic submission of pre- and full-proposals is available on the **ERA PerMed** website (<u>http://www.erapermed.eu/joint-calls/</u>) and in the "Guidelines for

Applicants". Applicants should take note of individual regional/national rules, and should contact their regional/national funding organisation if they have any questions.

Applicants from some regions/countries may be required to submit the additional regional/national proposal and/or other information, in some cases before the deadline of this call, directly to their relevant regional/national funding organisations. Applicants are therefore **strongly advised** to check their funding organisation's specific regulations. See "Guidelines for Applicants" for more details.

Ethical and legal issues must be addressed in each application, according to the relevant region's/country's regulations.

The **ERA PerMed** Call Steering Committee (CSC) will take all lawful steps to ensure the confidentiality of the information and documents obtained during the joint call evaluation and selection procedure.

D. FURTHER INFORMATION

Applicants should contact their corresponding regional/national representative to enquire about eligibility with their respective funding organisations in advance of submitting an application (see regional/national contact details, Annex I). For additional information, please contact the JCS (<u>healthresearch@sanita.it</u>). Adherence to the regional/national funding regulations in the "Guidelines for Applicants" document is mandatory (<u>http://www.erapermed.eu/joint-calls/</u>).

5. FORMAL CHECK AND EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

A. FORMAL CHECK AND EVALUATION OF PRE-PROPOSALS

The JCS will check all proposals to ensure that they meet the call's formal criteria (see also "4. *Applications, A. Funding recipients*"). In parallel, the JCS will forward the proposals to the regional/national funding organisations, which will perform a check for compliance with their regional/national rules.

Please note that if a proposal includes an ineligible partner, the whole proposal may be rejected without further review (for the definition of eligible partners see "Guidelines for Applicants" and regional/national funding regulations and contact your regional/national contact person. See also Annex I).

After passing the eligibility check (performed by the JCS and the participating funding agencies), pre-proposals will be sent to at least three reviewers for the first evaluation (see evaluation criteria below, *"5. Formal check and evaluation of proposals, C. Evaluation criteria"*). The reviewers will assess the pre-proposal and complete a written evaluation form with scores and comments for the evaluation criteria.

In addition, the reviewers will assess whether the projects described in the pre-proposal documents fit the scope of the call.

The CSC members will meet to decide which pre-proposals will be invited for full-proposal submission based on the reviewers' scores and recommendations, and to ensure a reasonable balance of requested and available regional/national budgets.

B. FORMAL CHECK AND EVALUATION OF FULL-PROPOSALS. REBUTTAL STAGE

The JCS will review the full-proposals to ensure that they meet the call's formal criteria and have not changed substantially from the respective pre-proposals prior to sending them to the reviewers. Any fundamental changes between the pre- and full-proposal concerning the composition of the consortium, objectives of the project or requested budget must be communicated to the JCS and to the regional/national funding organisations. In exceptional cases, these changes may be accepted if detailed justification is provided <u>and</u> if they are accepted by the CSC.

Each full-proposal will be allocated to at least three reviewers with the qualifying expertise fitting that of the submitted application. The reviewers will assess the full-proposal and complete a written evaluation form with scores and comments for each criterion (see evaluation criteria below). The reviewers will meet in a Peer Review Panel (PRP) to discuss all proposals, to produce an assessment report for each full-proposal and a ranking list of proposals recommended for funding. The composition of the PRP will be communicated through the **ERA PerMed** website at the end of the entire review process.

Rebuttal stage: Before the PRP plenary meeting to discuss the **full-proposals**, each project coordinator will have the opportunity to study the assessments and to provide comments on the arguments and evaluations of the reviewers, who remain anonymous. This stage allows applicants to comment on factual errors or misunderstandings that may have been committed by the reviewers while assessing the proposal, and to reply to reviewers' questions. However, issues that are not related to reviewers' comments or questions cannot be addressed, and the work plan cannot be modified at this stage. Answers sent after the notified deadline, or not related to reviewers' comments or questions, will be disregarded.

C. EVALUATION CRITERIA

Pre-proposals and full-proposals will be assessed according to specific evaluation criteria using a common evaluation form (proposals not falling within the scope of the call will not be evaluated further). A scoring system from 0 to 5 will be used to evaluate the proposal's performance with respect to the different evaluation criteria.

Scoring system:

0: Failure. The proposal fails to address the criterion in question, or cannot be judged because of missing or incomplete information.

1: Poor. The proposal shows serious weaknesses in relation to the criterion in question.

2: Fair. The proposal generally addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses that need corrections.

3: Good. The proposal addresses the criterion in question well, but certain improvements are necessary.

4: Very good. The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but small improvements are possible.

5: Excellent. The proposal successfully addresses all aspects of the criterion in question.

Evaluation scores will be awarded for the three main criteria, each as a whole, and not separately for the different aspects listed below the criteria. The three criteria are weighted equally and the maximum total score for the three evaluation criteria that can be achieved in the remote evaluation is 15 points. The threshold for every individual criterion based on the evaluation of the three reviewers will be 3.

Evaluation criteria:

- 1. Excellence:
 - a. Clarity and relevance of the objectives;
 - b. Scientific quality of the proposed approach and methodology;
 - c. Soundness of the concept;
 - d. Novelty of the concept;
 - e. Feasibility of the project (adequate requested resources, time schedule);
 - f. Relevance of the concept for the advancement of PM;
 - g. Quality of the project consortium: international competitiveness of participants in the field(s), previous work and expertise of the participants, added value of the transnational collaboration.

2. Impact:

- Added value of the transnational collaboration; sharing of resources (registries, diagnosis, biobanks, models, databases, diagnostic and informatics tools, etc.), platforms/infrastructures, harmonisation of data and sharing of specific knowhow;
- b. Potential impact of the expected results on clinical and other health-related applications;
- c. Involvement of pertinent patient organisations, patient representatives (if available/applicable);
- d. Involvement of private partners (SME and/or industry, if available/applicable);
- e. Innovative potential with respect to the development of personalised medicine;

f. Consideration of sex aspects and underrepresented populations in research teams. Inclusion of sex and/or gender analysis, underrepresented populations, or specific sub-groups in the research, if applicable.

3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation:

- a. Quality of the project plan;
- b. Adequateness of the work package structure and work plan (tasks, matching events, time schedule);
- c. Allocation of dedicated work packages in the work plan for each module/research area to be addressed. Appropriate expertise of the partner responsible for the respective work package;
- d. Balanced participation of project partners and integration of workload in the different work packages, quality and efficiency of coordination and scientific management;
- e. Interdisciplinary collaboration: Coherent integration of all kinds of project partners (e.g. academia, clinical/public health sector, industry partner/SME, patient representatives/organisations) needed to successfully accomplish the proposed work;
- f. Scientific justification and adequateness of the requested budget (rational distribution of resources in relation to the project's activities, partner responsibilities and time frame);
- g. Risk assessment, regulatory and ethics issues properly addressed (when necessary);
- h. Coherent integration and combination of research areas and modules in the proposal.

D. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST (EVALUATION PANEL)

All necessary steps will be taken by the JCS and the CSC to ensure that there is no conflict of interest concerning PRP members for those proposals assigned to them for review. The PRP members will be required to formally declare that no conflict of interest exists at any point in the evaluation process and will sign a confidentiality agreement concerning all documents and the entire process. Any PRP member who breaches the conflict-of-interest rule will be discharged from participating in the panel. Projects assigned to that reviewer will be assigned to another reviewer.

A first review for conflicts of interest will be performed by the JCS when analysing the reviewers' publications. After receiving the proposals, reviewers are bound to indicate whether there is a conflict of interest with any of the researchers or research groups in the proposals for review. Reviewers will sign a formal declaration that they will not participate in the call, nor have any conflicting interests regarding the researchers or research groups participating in the projects that are reviewed.

6. FINAL DECISION ON FUNDING

Based on the ranking list established by the PRP and on available funding, the CSC will recommend those projects to be funded to the regional/national funding organisations. Based on these recommendations, final decisions will be made by the regional/national funding organisations, subject to budgetary considerations. The regional/national funding organisations will follow the ranking list established by the PRP members.

The funding decision will be final; no complaints will be accepted or processed by the **ERA PerMed** consortium.

The project coordinator will be informed by the JCS of the decision. The project partners should be informed by their project coordinator.

7. PROJECT START AND CONSORTIUM AGREEMENT

Consortium members of projects selected for funding must fix a scientific project start date, which will be the reference date for the annual progress reports and final reporting. The scientific project start date must be stated in the Project Consortium Agreement (CA).

Project coordinators will be responsible for drafting the mandatory CA specific to their consortium in order to manage the delivery of the project activities, intellectual property rights (IPR) and decision-making, and to avoid disputes that could compromise the completion of the project. The coordinator is responsible for sending the CA signed by all partners to the JCS. The CA must state that funding and administrative matters are not regulated by the CA and are issues addressed bilaterally between each project partner and its funder in the relevant Grant Agreement (GA). The CA will be made available to the relevant funding organisations. The project consortium is strongly encouraged to sign this CA before the official project start date and, in any case, the CA should be signed **no later than six months after the scientific project start date**. Please note that regional and national funding agencies' regulations concerning the requirement for a CA may apply. Further instructions will be provided by the JCS to the coordinators of the projects selected for funding. The Data Management Plan must be submitted to the Joint Call Secretariat **no later than 3 months after the scientific project start date** (template available on the ERA PerMed call website).

8. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Each project coordinator, on behalf of all participating project partners, shall submit an annual and final scientific progress report the first year, second year and a final report of the transnational project in English to the JCS. A report template will be provided by the JCS stating the scientific progress, the goals that have been met, and corrective measures in the event that the annual project plan has not been fulfilled. It may also be necessary for project partners' principal investigators to submit reports individually to their national funding agency/body in accordance with the respective regional/national regulations. In addition, project coordinators may be asked to present the project results at **ERA PerMed** meetings and may be invited to attend at least one midterm seminar and one final symposium¹⁷. Accordingly, travel expenses to attend these mandatory meetings should be included in the proposal budget plans.

The coordinator must promptly inform the JCS in case of ANY significant changes in the work programme or the consortium's composition. The JCS will inform the relevant funding organisations, who will decide upon the proper action to be taken.

Project coordinators, upon notification, are required to deliver an abstract of their project suitable for communication and dissemination purposes.

In addition, the funding recipients are expected to participate in, and contribute to, any communication activity initiated by **ERA PerMed** during the funding period (mandatory) and beyond.

Importantly, all funding recipients must ensure that all outcomes (publications, etc.) of transnational **ERA PerMed**-funded projects include proper acknowledgement of the **ERA PerMed** ERA-NET and **the respective funding partner organisations**. Publication with Open Access is mandatory.

¹⁷ If ERA PerMed funds are available.

ANNEX I. REGIONAL/NATIONAL CONTACT DETAILS

Participant organisation name	Country / Region	Regional/National contact				
Austrian Science Fund (FWF)	Austria	Milojka Gindl Tel: +43 1 505 67 40 8209 milojka.gindl@fwf.ac.at Ena Linnau Tel: (+43) (0) 1 505 67 40-8205 Ena.Linnau@fwf.ac.at				
Fund for Scientific Research (F.R.SFNRS)	BELGIUM	Joël Groeneveld Tel: (+32)2 504 9270 joel.groeneveld@frs-fnrs.be Florence Quist Tel: (+32)2 504 9351 florence.quist@frs-fnrs.be				
Brazilian National Council of State Funding Agency (CONFAP)	BRASIL	Elisa Natola elisa.confap@gmail.com + 55.61.996138850				
Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (ANID)	CHILE	Andrea Cibotti Ortiz acibotti@anid.cl				
Ministry of Science and Education of the Republic of Croatia (MSE)	CROATIA	Mateo A. Bosnić Tel: (+385) (1) 4594-166 <u>MateoAnte.Bosnic@mzo.hr</u>				
Innovation Fund Denmark (InnoFond)	DENMARK	Ejner Moltzen Tel: (+45) 31330306 Ejner.moltzen@innofond.dk Martin Kyvsgaard Tel: (+45) 61905023 martin.kyvsgaard@innofond.dk				
Academy of Scientific Research and Technology (ASRT)	EGYPT	Salma Essawi Tel .(+20) 227920126 Sme@sti.sci.eg				
Estonian Research Council (ETAg)	ESTONIA	Maarja Adojaan Tel: (+372) 731 7355 Maarja.Adojaan@etag.ee Margit Suuroja Tel: (+372) 5564 0548 Margit.Suuroja@etag.ee				
Academy of Finland (AKA)	FINLAND	Heikki Vilen Tel: (+358) (0)29 533 5135 <u>heikki.vilen@aka.fi</u>				
Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR)	FRANCE	Dr. Monika Frenzel Tel: (+33) (0) 1 73 54 83 32 Dr. Imène Boudaoud Tel: (+33) (0) 1 73 54 82 95 <u>ERAPerMed@agencerecherche.fr</u>				

Participant organisation name	Country / Region	Regional/National contact			
Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) German Aerospace Center e.V. – Project Management Agency (DLR)	GERMANY	Dr. Katja Kuhlmann Dr. Lorna Moll Tel: (+49) 228 3821 2211 <u>permed@dlr.de</u>			
Federal Ministry of Health, (BMG) German Aerospace Center e.V. – Project Management Agency (DLR)	GERMANY	Dr. Katja Kuhlmann Dr. Lorna Moll Tel: (+49) 228 3821 2211 permed@dlr.de			
Saxon State Ministry for Science, Culture and Tourism (SMWK)	GERMANY (SACHSEN)	Dr. Eva Maria Stegemann Tel: (+49) 351 564 64270 Gabriele Süptitz Tel: (+49) 351 564 64210 <u>permed@smwk.sachsen.de</u>			
National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH)	HUNGARY	Dr. Klára Horváth Tel: (+36) 1 896 37 48 <u>klara.horvath@nkfih.gov.hu</u>			
Chief Scientist Office, Ministry Of Health (CSO-MOH)	ISRAEL	Dr. Yahaloma Gat Tel: (+972) (0) 56 242 476 <u>y.gat@moh.gov.il</u> Dr. Liron Even-Faitelson Tel: 972-2-5082168 liron.ef@moh.gov.il			
Italian Ministry of Health (IT-MoH)	ITALY	Dr. Monica Paganelli Tel: (+39) 065994.2408 <u>m.paganelli@sanita.it</u> Dr. Maria Josè Ruiz Alvarez Tel: (+39) 065994.3214 mj.ruizalvarez-esterno@sanita.it			
Fondazione Regionale per la Ricerca Biomedica (FRRB)	ITALY (LOMBARDY)	Paola Bello Tel: +39 02 6765 0174 Giusi Caldieri Tel: +39 02 67650173 Carmen De Francesco Tel: +39 02 6765 0170 <u>bandi@frrb.it</u>			
Tuscany Region (TuscReg)	ITALY (TUSCANY)	Donatella Tanini Tel: (+39) (0) 55 43 83 256 Teresa Vieri Tel:(+39) (0)55 4383289 <u>erapermed@regione.toscana.it</u>			
State Education Development Agency (VIAA)	LATVIA	Maija Bundule Tel: (+371) 67785423 <u>Maija.Bundule@viaa.gov.lv</u> Uldis Berkis Tel: (+371) 29472349 <u>Uldis.Berkis@viaa.gov.lv</u>			
National Research Fund (FNR)	LUXEMBOURG	Marie-Claude Marx Tel: (+352) 691 36 28 21 marie-claude.marx@fnr.lu			

.

Participant organisation name	Country / Region	Regional/National contact
The Research Council of Norway (RCN)	NORWAY	Karianne Solaas Tel: (+47) 945 35 380 <u>kso@rcn.no</u>
The National Secretariat for Science, Technology and Innovation of Panama (SENACYT)	PANAMA	Anabella Vásquez Fábrega avasquez@senacyt.gob.pa (+507)5170124 (+507)69490415 Carlos Aguirre <u>caguirre@senacyt.gob.pa</u> (+507)5170064
National Centre for Research and Development (NCBR)	POLAND	Marcin Chmielewski Tel: (+48) 22 39 07 109 <u>marcin.chmielewski@ncbr.gov.pl</u>
Executive Agency for Higher Education, Research, Development and Innovation Funding (UEFISCDI)	ROMANIA	Cristina Cotet Tel: (+40) (0) 21 302 38 84 <u>cristina.cotet@uefiscdi.ro</u>
National Institute of Health Carlos III (ISCIII)	SPAIN	Mauricio Garcia-Franco Tel: (+34) 91 822 2885 Marina Moreno Llanos Tel: (+34) 91 822 2626 Cristina Nieto García <u>eranetpm@isciii.es</u>
The Scientific Foundation of the Spanish Association Against Cancer (FCAECC)	SPAIN	Esther Aguilar Fadó Tel: (+34) 911111422 <u>esther.aguilar@aecc.es</u> Marta Puyol Tel: (+34) 913108207 <u>marta.puyol@aecc.es</u>
Health Department – Generalitat de Catalunya (DS-CAT)	SPAIN (CATALONIA)	Montserrat Llavayol Tel: +34935566172 peris@gencat.cat
Government of Navarre (GN)	SPAIN (NAVARRE)	Sara Torres Tel: +34848427873 <u>storresl@navarra.es</u>
Swedish Research Council (SRC)	SWEDEN	Maria Nilsson Tel. (+46) 8 546 44 135 Maria.Nilsson@vr.se Maria Starborg, Tel (+46) 8 546 44 237 Maria.Starborg@vr.se
The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK)	TURKEY	Emine Derebay Yildiz Tel: +90 312 298 1195 <u>emine.derebay@tubitak.gov.tr</u>

_

ANNEX II. INDICATIVE FUNDING COMMITMENTS OF THE PARTICIPATING ORGANISATIONS OF THE ERA PERMED JTC 2021 (THIS TABLE IS MEANT FOR A FIRST OVERVIEW ONLY. PLEASE REFER TO THE REGIONAL/NATIONAL GUIDELINES FOR DETAILS.)

	Country			Fui	nding o	f call topic re	esearch a			
Participant organisation	Country / Region	Funding academic or clinical partner*	Funding private partners*	Are	a 1	Area 2	Are	ea 3	Tentative budget (M€ for 3 years)	Envisaged number fundable teams
				1A	1B	2	3A	3B		
FWF	Austria	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	1	3-4
F.R.SFNRS	BELGIUM	✓	×	 ✓ 	×	✓	~	~	0.2	1
CONFAP	BRASIL	~	~	✓	✓	~	~	~	0.48 tbc	tbc
ANID	CHILE	~	 ✓ if it is Non Profit Research Organisations. × if it is For Profit 	~	~	~	~	~	0.25	3
MSE	CROATIA	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	~	~	0.12	1
InnoFond	DENMARK	✓	~	 ✓ 	 Image: A second s	~	~	~	1	3-4
ASRT	EGYPT	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0.4	2-3
ETAg	ESTONIA	✓	~	 ✓ 	~	~	~	~	0.1	1
ΑΚΑ	FINLAND	~	×	~	~	~	~	~	1.15	4-5
ANR	FRANCE	✓	~	 ✓ 	~	✓	~	~	2.5	~10
BMBF DLR	GERMANY	✓	✓	×	~	~	×	~	3 (modules 1B and 2) 1.5 (module 3B)	10 (modules 1B and 2) 5 (module 3B)
BMG	GERMANY	\checkmark	×	×	×	\checkmark	~	~	1.8	6

		Country / Funding academic or Region clinical partner*		Funding of call topic research area						
Participant organisation	Country / Region		Funding private partners*	Are	a 1	Area 2	Area 3		Tentative budget (M€ for 3 years)	Envisaged number fundable teams
				1A	1B	2	3A	3B		
DLR										
SMWK	GERMANY (SAXONY)	✓ [Academia]	 Ino direct funding possible, in case of interest, please contact SMWK for consultation] 	~	~	~	~	~	2.0	3-5
NKFIH	HUNGARY	~	\checkmark	~	✓	\checkmark	~	~	0.3	2-3
сѕо-мон	ISRAEL	~	×	~	 Image: A second s	×	~	~	0.3	2
ІТ-МоН	ITALY	YES [Scientific Institutes for Research, Hospitalization and Health Care (Istituti di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientific opubblici e privati, IRCCS) and National Institute of Health (ISS)]	×	~	V	~	~	~	1.5	6-7
FRRB	ITALY (LOMBARDY)	✓	No	~	~	×	~	~	1.5	2-3
TuscReg	ITALY (TUSCANY)	~	 ✓ (Only non-for profit research organisations) 	~	~	~	~	~	0.3	1-2
VIAA	LATVIA	 ✓ [Clinical as enterprises, academic as scientific institutions] 	 ✓ [Only enterprises – see eligibility rules] 	~	~	~	~	~	0.42	1-2

				Fu	nding oʻ	f call topic re	esearch a			
Participant organisation	Country / Region	Funding academic or clinical partner*	Funding private partners*	Area 1		Area 2	Area 3		Tentative budget (M€ for 3 years)	Envisaged number fundable teams
				1A	1B	2	3A	3B		
FNR	LUXEM- BOURG	 ✓ [under the conditions specified in the FNR eligibility rules] 	×	~	~	~	V	V	0.3	1-2
RCN	NORWAY	✓	×	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	1.5	4-5
SENACYT	PANAMA	✓	×	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	0.1	1-2
NCBR	POLAND	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	×	×	0,6	1-3
UEFISCDI	ROMANIA	×	~	~	✓	~	~	~	0.5	1-2
ISCIII	SPAIN	✓	YES if it is Non Profit. NO if it is For Profit.	~	~	✓	~	~	1	4-6
FCAECC	SPAIN	~	✓ if it is Non Profit.✗ if it is For Profit.	~	~	✓	~	~	0.45	2-3
DS-CAT	SPAIN (CATALONIA)	✓	 ✓ if it is Non Profit Research Organisations. ✗ if it is For Profit 	~	~	✓	×	×	0.5	2-3
GN	SPAIN (NAVARRE)	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	~	~	~	~	1	2-3
SRC	SWEDEN	✓	×	~	×	✓	~	~	1.1	3-5
TUBITAK	TURKEY	✓	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	0.35	2-3

* subject to regional/national eligibility criteria and funding rules. All applicants and partners must comply with the State Aid rules (<u>http://ec.europa.eu/competition/state aid/overview/index en.html</u>). Please see more information from each individual funding agency in the "Guidelines for Applicants".